

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/911,435	BUSHEE, WILLIAM J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Leslie Wong	2164	

Status of Application: *Non Final Action*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to suggest amendments to the claimed limitations in an effort to overcome the 112, 101 issues and advance the prosecution of the case.

112 issues

The terms "most probable" and "likelihood" appear to be indefinite as it is difficult to determine how probable is most probable and what representation should be considered as likelihood.

101 issues

Examiner pointed out that Claim 4 does not provide a tangible result as the last step of the claim is "determining if a portion of said action string matches any bad action strings..." The representative indicated that claim 4 does have a tangible result because it contains the step of "writing an engine file describing the form layout..." occurs before the first wherein clause. The step of "wherein the step of locating data entry windows..." following the step of writing an engine as an elaboration of the claimed limitation "locating data entry windows in said captured web page". Examiner states that the step of "determining if a portion of said action string matches any bad actions strings" is incomplete because if the answer is yes for the above condition then the system would perform the recited step, else if "the action string DOES NOT match any bad action strings" then what would be the next step that the system perform. As a result, corrections need to be made to the mentioned "determining step" to insure certain actions would take place for both scenarios.

Claim 1

Examiner indicated that the last 3 limitations of the claim lack nexus. Examiner suggests that after "selecting a most probable data entry window..." the next limitation should be "submitting the query..." as recited in claim 36. Then it would make sense to "searching candidate responses..."

Claim 19

Examiner states that the last step of claim 19 does not provide a tangible result; However, the representative has similar comments for this claim as claim 4: "writing an engine file..." produces the tangible result for this method as this file is used to feedback to the search engine to enable automatic configuration of the search forms. All the steps occur after the "writing" step is an elaboration of the claimed limitation "locating data entry windows..."

Claim 35

Examiner suggests incorporating claims 36-38 into claim 35 in order to make the scope of claim 35 parallel with claim 1 to put claim 35 in condition for allowance.

Also, Applicant is reminded to submit amendments to add Fig. 5 in the Brief Description of the Drawings and the Detailed Description sections of the Specification and updating the cited co-pending application serial number and status for the incorporated subject matter by reference.